JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

Bill Number:	Title:	Agency:
2514 SHB	Discriminatory Covenants	055 – Administrative Office
		of the Courts (AOC)

Part I: Estimates

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
Total:					

Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE – Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund – State (001-1)					
State Subtotal					
COUNTY					
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal					
CITY					
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local – Cities					
Cities Subtotal					
Local Subtotal					
Total Estimated Expenditures:					

The revenue and	expenditure estimate.	s on this page rep	resent the most	likely fiscal impac	t. Responsibility for
expenditures may	y be subject to the pro	visions of RCW 43	3.135.060.		

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

☐ If fiscal impact is greater than	\$50,000 per fiscal yea	ar in the current bie	nnium or in subseque	nt biennia, c	complete
entire fiscal note form parts I-V					

☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia,	complete this
page only (Part I).	

☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 1/29/2018
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would provide for the recording of a restrictive covenant modification document with the county auditor in the county where the property is located as an alternative to the judicial procedure for striking discriminatory language from written real property agreements.

This bill would change the list of unlawful provisions that homeowners association boards may remove from their governing documents by a majority vote to include all provisions that would be void by reason of Washington's Law Against Discrimination.

This bill differs from HB 2514 by:

- Providing that, in charter counties, the modification document may be filed with the county official charged with the responsibility for recording instruments in the county records;
- (2) Removing a provision that required the county auditor to determine whether the original written instrument contains restrictions that are void by reason of Washington's Law Against Discrimination before recording the modification document:
- (3) Removing a provision specifying how the modification document is indexed;
- (4) Providing that, in addition to filing or recording fees, no otherwise authorized surcharges may be collected for a modification document filed;
- (5) Making a correction to refer to the Washington State Association of County (rather than state) Auditors;
- (6) Removing the requirement that the modification document include the complete copy of the original written instrument containing the void provision; and
- (7) Prescribing certain language to be included in modification document forms.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

No fiscal impact to the courts.